

Title

The Denial of the Theory of Relativity. Rel 2.0

Author

Eiji Kaneko

abstract

The theory of relativity of the Einstein is common sense today. However, logic is strange. It is because an object is destroyed by observation person's idea(meta). There can't be such a thing.

Therefore, I tried the denial of the Einstein.

Key Words

Existentialism , Idea(meta) , Existentialistic mathematics , Electromagnetic field , Principle of order of priority of recognition , Three Threom of the Time *etc...*

1 The beginning of existentialism mathematics.

Length of minus can exist in a idea(meta), but it doesn't exist in real world.

If you ask "Which is first existence or idea(meta)?" ,I'll answer this "Existence is first,interpretation is next.". Because,physics isn't idealism.Physics target to object and its movement ,it is mateal-ism.

As for physics, it has never been discussed the ontology until today. It's mathematics,too.

It is necessary to clear the subeject of recognize that crystallize deed of science.

Well,let me tell you the story of existentialistic mathematics which I propose. Of course, old mathematics was remained. It isn't really useless. Therefore, mathematics is branched to two branch. It is existentialism mathematics and old mathematics.

I'm going to show you quick tour of existentialism mathematics.

1.1 1st

It can dived object of $10cm$ to three part that using by the geometrical way. It is the same when $10cm$ perimeter cake divided into three part.

When it think by numric,suddenly it going to be complex.

$$10 \div 3 = 3.3333 \dots$$

One length that devided to three part becomes $3.333 \dots cm$.

Many people are relieved by this answer.

However, even if $3.333 \dots$ add together, it became $9.999 \dots$. In other words, it can't be restored to original 10 . However, $10 \div 3$ is $3.333 \dots$, and it is being made to be an answer tentatively.

Or, there is a method which makes it a fraction, too. However,fraction is collect a formula like $\frac{10}{3}$ in one. It is the same even if it is made $3\frac{1}{3} \times 3 = 10$. Then, it left the way of writing "3 and 1 remainder". a problem is that formla became equal or not.

It is important.

Strictly,it doesn't equal between $\frac{10}{3}$ and $3.33 \dots$

So, number has distortion.

It is "existentialistic mathematics" that define as invalidation that distortion of the number.

1.2 2nd

All the number has existence because it was described by "1".

"The prime number" is just only it.

The number of "One" is existence unit,and it is constantly increase unit. A certain specific

length is defined as “1”. That “1” of “1” is an existence unit.

Logic is evolved by unit which defined like this.

The “existence unit – One – ” is three unit, and it is $3cm$. It becomes 9 when there are three “3” unit. It doesn’t become 10.

It is easy to divide to three parts when ruler has scale that 10 divided into three.

It makes priority order about existence.

1. The length exists at first.
2. The interpretation of “the definition of 1”.

“Length” exists at first.

A problem is the settlement of the unit, that is, a matter of interpretation.

1.3 3rd

Definition : $x = 2x$ is self-description contradiction.

It is existentialistic mathematics that this contradiction should be invalidated.

It corresponds to $x = x + 1$, too.

In other words, problem is an equal that conclude or not.

If it’s think equal as “A or B” or “substitute B for A.”, some “Paradox” becomes enable. Of course, it isn’t contain originally meaning equal mark as “B is equal to A.”. (As C language, it is different equal(=) and substitute(==).)

As a matter of fact, a quadratic equation is self-description contradiction. Though factorization is made an answer, an equal here is not the meaning of implication. Then, a parenthesis becomes even the meaning of “A or, B”. As for the formula of the answer, there is a mistake that the right side doesn’t align with the left side.

This is the reason of one more “distortion of the number”.

This is the problem of the existence unit and the increase regularity unit, too. However, sometime it conclude. This caswe is ...negating each other and made them plus-minus zero.

Therefore, a $\sqrt{2}$ is denied, too.

As a matter of fact, though this thing had ever caused a trouble at that time historically, the one which should make a $\sqrt{2}$ effective why was left.

They could’t discussed ontology about mathematics at that time. It didn’t reach defining existentialism at that time.

So, it is the Pythagorean theorem that is troublesome. However, they aren’t absolute because they make length a number and it thinks about them, either.

It is based on the order of priority of the existence. Or, the distortion which a number has is

a cause.

1.4 4th

Q:



See a line AB.

Multiply by itself.

“It is impossible.” is an answer.

The thing of the multiplication collected gathering of the addition in one.

$$2 \times 4 = 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 = 8$$

Subtraction is addition in the reverse direction.

Division is the multiplication repeated to become the same as the Source.

Therefore, only the case which becomes the number which is the same as the Source does formation by the multiplication.

All the number is described by unit “1”.

As for that “description”, all the number exists with the thing of “the addition repeated by 1 each”.

The time when that increase regularity unit became “2” is a case like 2×4 , and it doesn't reach 5 by increase regularity which has “2” and to do and which is done.

When constantly increase unit as “two” is “ 2×4 ”,and it can't reach “five” by constantly increase unit as “two”.

It is the case many or few.

When it can be divided by like 2.5,it is only two unit in there.

Well,let's explanation about this problem as “Square,Self multiplication(mean:Multiply by itself)”.

“Square (multiplication by itself)” is the special case multiplication.

$$2^2 = 2 \times 2 = 4$$

$$3^2 = 3 \times 3 = 9$$

$$4^2 = 4 \times 4 = 16$$

It is interesting when this is changed into the addition.

$$2^2 = 2 + 2 = 4$$

$$3^2 = 3 + 3 + 3 = 9$$

$$4^2 = 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 = 16$$

These are the cases that conclude.

Then, it becomes a route when it is calculated with the number from result of 1 to 2, from 2 to 4, and from 4 to 9.

Then, as for the case that it doesn't conclude, an answer is distorted number which doesn't described by unit "1".

Multiplication is "the compression of the addition", and a square is "the compression of the multiplication of the special case".

The act of calculation of route is a reverse calculate of that, it has enabled and disabled case.

As for these, there will be an objection.

Therefore, it is divided into old mathematics and existentialistic mathematics.

Let's state that there is no change in the answer of this geometry problem even if there is an objection.

Concrete numerical value isn't stated to the problem that it prepares questions. In other words, you must make the thing squared by the drawing. However, there must not be a concrete number in the act of the square (Self multiplication). It is because a square is the multiplication of the special case. It isn't clear whether how much you have only to multiply or how much you have only to add. Because it is "the length" of one dimension, it can't be extended obliquely that it is being asked to it, either. Therefore, an answer becomes the thing of "It is impossible." This is possible "Two times are to have a line AB."

Well, it is "one dimension" that it came out here.

One dimension targets for length.

A change is never given to an up-down direction, that is, the y axis direction.

It is the same even if it multiplied to one dimension by pie. It is because, one dimension targets the length that expand or contradict.

As for the imaginary number, it is denied naturally if it thinks about "the above compression of the addition".

Then, it is about the imaginary number axis.

Though Gauss thought about this, this is no proof, too.

It was only insisting "A phenomenon is explained with thinking like this."

There is no reason why an imaginary number shows that it exists. Of course, this is denied if it thinks about the principle of the axis toward one dimension, too.

Which of the idea (meta) and the existence is the first?

Existence is the first.

Then, let me explain about the axis.

The dimensions which exist are three dimensions.

It is described by three axes of x, y, z.

It is because existence is the first.

Then, it is filled up without overlapping by three axes.

An imaginary number axis is the idea(meta).

The fourth axis of the four dimensions overlaps with three axes until then. The fourth axis is not the element of the space.

It is in the idea(meta) of the interpretation of the space that the fourth axis can exist.

It is because existence is the first and interpretation is that next.

"King is nakedness." : Existentialistic mathematician said.

1.5 5th

One more story of existentialistic mathematics.

Proof : "A $\sqrt{2}$ follows infinity."

A route 2 is the thing of "number to square and which becomes 2". As for the act of finding that value, it's actually do square.

$$\sqrt{2} = 1.41421356\dots$$

Generally a thing is regarded.

It is $1.41421356\dots \times 1.41421356\dots$. This becomes $1.9999\dots$ ultimately. It is to become endlessly close to 2.

If the "recklessly" calculation is only continued. Then, it believes that it becomes 2.0 someday.

$$1.4 \times 1.4 = 1.96$$

$$1.41 \times 1.41 = 1.9881$$

$$1.414 \times 1.414 = 1.999396$$

It changes like this.

It is probably that there is a figure which becomes $1.999\dots$ ultimately. It is usual to pay attention from the first decimal place which is close to the place of 1. However, it is the minimum place of the decimal point, a final place that you should really pay attention. The multiplication of the decimal point and under has the tendency that it cuts it down. As it is multiplied more, it becomes smaller.

However, when a unit is adjusted, it is probably to understand that it is the continuance of the mere multiplication.

$14 \times 14 = 196$ (It becomes close to 200.)
 $141 \times 141 = 19881$ (It becomes close to 20000)
 $1414 \times 1414 = 1999396$ (close to 2000000)

It is the reason that these were adjusted to each of the places of 1. Therefore, it is the reason that it influences a final place whether to be suitable for 2.0.

So, it tries to think about the thing of the square. Then, the number which can be used in one figure is ...

$$2^2 = 4, 3^2 = 9, 4^2 = 16, 5^2 = 25, 6^2 = 36, 7^2 = 49, 8^2 = 64, 9^2 = 81, 0^2 = 0, 1^2 = 1$$

They are 10 ways in all.

It is the reason that the number which becomes 1.999... ultimately is made 2.0 by this 10 number. A final place must be "0" if it thinks from the above nature to become 2.0. Because, the number which doesn't finish finding value is left in the calculation by the number except for the zero.

However, 1.999... and other number aren't filled up with "0". Therefore, it only keeps multiplying in the infinity, and it is decided eternally that it doesn't become "2". A which is each final place 4,9,6,5,6,9,4,1.... keep being left, and the calculation that you must fill it up so that it may become 2.0 is only left.

The thing of "A route 2 follows eternally." becomes clear from these things.

Of course, as for the route 3 and other routes as well, it is the same.

These things are already proofed. And then it was defined.

Problem is we have been didn't discussed about reliability of root 2.

Even if it is proved that the value of the route 2 isn't found eternally, "the case which mathematics must use a route 2 for from the necessity" is still left. Therefore, it doesn't reach it by the place to erase a route 2 completely. Therefore, I think that it should divide mathematics into old mathematics with existentialism mathematics.

Anyway, the equal of the accurate meaning of the formula of A doesn't come into being. There has "a non-both directionality".

Though it is continuance toward the x axis, it doesn't continue toward the y axis.

There is accumulation of the considerable quantity in "mathematics which should make a route 2 effective" up to today The work of moving this in existentialism mathematics reaches ridiculous quantity. Therefore, though existentialism mathematics is proposed here, the territory of old mathematics is left as for this thesis, too.

1.6 About the non-Euclidean geometry.

Do you know Gauss (1777-1855) who was called the king of great mathematics?

A thing by Gauss is bigger than wisdom's having tightened the existence of the imaginary number to the people. And Gauss got triggered non-Euclidean geometry, it was started when he was dead soon at 1860.

It is about 40 years ago of the announcement of the theory of relativity. It is only 40 years does it cost until Einstein's paper.

At the same time, science was hastily developed at later 19th century and early 20th century. At that time, people didn't reach to proof the axioms's contradiction-less.

When read the science fiction of H.G.Wells, you'd understood how the science in those days was irresponsible. It shows whether ontology in those days. It shows that four dimensions geometry was very popular in those days society, too. (Refer to : "The time machine" of H.G.Wells and a related work).

Then, state of about "the non-Euclidean geometry".




Figure A : A figure to shrink and which goes when it goes to the top.

First, I want see figure A.

This figure is one of example of non-Euclidean geometry that insists "the object going to contract when its follow this arrow way".

Basically, non-Euclidean geometries doesn't complicated defined like a topology. In other words, it is insist of "object going to be contract when it move from $(x,0)$ to (x,y) ".

Did you found this strange?

It is two dimension, but it has three dimension z axis effect. In other words, it is plane, but it has depth.

Of course, there must be definition of contract the width of the x axis with the increase in the

y axis. Of course, It need the definition of contract that it increasing y axis and decreasing x axis. When we see the figure that drawn in the paper, we target to x and y axes. Therefore, we don't target depth of z axis to object.

It is easy to understand because it was drawn in the paper that we seen.

When we see a mirror, we could see two dimension sight which was reflected by mirror.

It isn't imaginary world in over the mirror.

Perhaps, you'd say "Hey! look at this! It increase y axis direction, object gonna shrinks!" when you see the mirror, it is only quibble.

It is only the world of this side which reflected to the mirror.

In the first place, all the things which exist are three dimensions.

The two-dimensional figure excluded the z axis from three-dimension.

The depth of z axis doesn't exist in drawn in the paper.

When we draw a picture, we are changing a three-dimensional figure to two-dimensional figure by way of "perspective drawing".

The depth of picture exists in my mind (idea, or meta), but, actually, there is a plane which has no depth.

"Which is the first, idea or existence?"

Existence is the first.

First, existence exists, it recognize, and then it define.

Then, the classification of the definition and re-definition were done, and it was subdivided more.

The confusion of the object happened because it was abstract definition.

By the way, do you know "What is the definition of the dimension?"?

It is the strict definition.

Definition of dimension, where it comes, and what reason does it defined.

No one knows.

Something basis, too.

The basis that four dimensions is fourth power of, too.

There is no way of proving it.

Because, somewhere's someone thought so in one day, and it was decided to be so.

Like a Gauss plane.

Originally, the thing of the dimension doesn't exist.

There was existence first.

Then, the necessity to interpret it occurred.

x axis, y axis, z axis....

The space is filled up with three them.

Then, the confusion of the object happened.

Generally, "the point" doesn't have own length and width.

Width isn't contained in one dimension which makes "length" the target.
 If the width of the line is made the target, it is two dimension.
 You'd know that there are strange relations there.
 A hint is "Existence goes ahead of the idea(or meta)". In other words, it doesn't exist one dimension.
 It is because it is general idea of when it interprets.
 For example, "A line" is thought generally to be one dimension. However, without "the width" made the two-dimensional target, it can't exist.
 It is interpretation like existentialistic mathematics.
 Well, let's return to the figure A.
 Even if it proceeds as mentioned in this figure as the arrow, the amounts of increase of the y axis only increase, and nothing contracts.
 If it seems that it contracted, they are not two dimensions but three dimensions, and it just and only goes away.
 If let us suppose that "the space which described by x and y axis is going to narrow when it go to up wards", it is strange define of axis.
 At any rate, It is an idealike.
 "They are two dimensions, and they are three dimensions."
 If it is reworded.
 "They are two dimensions, and they are not two dimensions."
 At the same time.
 "They are three dimensions, and they are not three dimensions."
 It is the typical contradiction of "A and null A".(or "A and not A")
 And, it doesn't conclude when it think two dimension Euclidean axiom and three dimension Euclidean axiom.
 It can see which concluded when paradox contradiction enabled. Everything is possible when contradiction enabled.
 As for it, like self-description contradiction $x=2x$.
 Therefore, even if straight line is multiplied with 3.14, a straight line becomes long or short, and it doesn't become a circle.
 To begin with, there is no reason to bend which is one dimension as straight line.
 There is neither a mystery nor a imaginary world in the number.
 The number is in the existence.
 Then, we need the number because we target the existence.
 If the number has a mystics, it isn't the number, or it is only wrong.

2 About the time.

Did we really understand the thing of the time?

Can you answer the question of "What is time?" right now?

What creat the time?

Does the thing of "the time" exist?

Let me explain the time which using old general ideas(soon you'll to know this.).

In other words, "time and the space" are made the target in the same level. It means it include the height,width,depth,and time. Then, everythings take that influence under the existence of the time. (Non-Euclidean geometory ,Minkowski-space,etc.)

Incidentally, this story will be applied later.

2.1 Three theorems of the time.

Let us supposed that Time got stoped.

Who can confirm that thing?

Can the high priest of Tibet confirm it?

Is "the object X" which came from the universe possible?

Or, is the observation machine which is not a person without the influence of the time?

Of course, there can't be such a thing.

Time is now stopping,but person has time in that time.

There is contradiction.

It must be time doesn't stop totally.

Everything takes the influence of the time.

Let us Suppose that time stops, however person can confirm it.

It is probably the person who doesn't belong to that space and that time.

However, can exist the person who doesn't belong to the time though in time?

Therefore.

Theorem : "Even if time stops, every existence can't be confirmed it."(in the same time,same place)

it is led.

This is said as "the absolute control by the time".

When it presumes "Each object has each time.", "time and the space" can't be put in the same category.

In other words,it is no meaning general idea of "time-space" and "four dimension" which added t(time) axis to x(width),y(height) and z(depth) axis.

Next, let us suppose that the time uneven flow in whole stream.

For example, time becomes long in a certain part, and time becomes short in a certain part, and it goes and it stops in a certain part.

A person can't confirm it because there is a principle of "the control of the time" as for these cases as well.

When it stop,when it goes,when it late,when it fast.... We can distinct each of that. It is because it exists and belongs in time.

So,

Theorem : "Time passes uniformly" is led.

Then, let us suppose that there is time in the minus direction.

In other words,it is time of the reverse direction.

Can there be such a thing?

For example, when we see a video tape,we could found the time(picture) which has reverse direction. It was done before check the plus direction time which in our memory. Actually, time in the video is time in the direction of the plus. It is easy to understand when it think except video.

Where save the time?

There are not preserved thing (It is the thing of the preservation medium.), itself.Of course,no such hard drive,too.

If time passes, it doesn't come back again.

Where preserve the record of the time of T-Rex (the name of the dinosaur.and it is not Rock band)?

That dinosaur wants what to eat, any behavior was made what time what minute what second. It is the ultra huge information.

Of course,other infomation in there.

For example, they are various physics phenomena, other animals and plants, the weather phenomenon.

Where preserve those all?

A fatalist should abandon personal belief here.

Of course, there is no medium which preserved such datas, and nothing saved such data.

Then,let's suppose that "If it can record the present time."

(I don't know how to do it.).

If it made reverse time by using it,it does the work of "the restoration".

Which is the time that belong to plus or minus?

It has the work of "the restoration" done by the work of the positive time.

As for the time, it always increases.

It is the "reverse play" of video that increase in the minus direction.

Then, what is it time decreases?

It's good to tries to think.

Time shortens.

It is impossible.

There is existence of the time at first.

It is only our memory that The time which has reverse direction or not. Actually, time always increases. In other words, there is only time in the positive direction.

Or,

"A certain part of time got going to reverse direction which in the whole positive direction stream."

Why is it time of the reverse direction though it is on our sight?

At the same time,

Why could it be confirmed when it was time for the reverse?

It is to be in the positive time.

The reverse direction time can't exist in the positive direction of time.

Is it negative time when a car goes back?

Then, we confirm the phenomenon of our sight at the positive time. And,we verified it to our memory,specialize to its paticular,and interpret its to "in a certain sense,it could recognize to reverse direction."

It is mistake of object and subject that thinks about the time has minus direction.

It is same as minus length which exist in idea(meta) but doesn't exist real world.

Refer to "contradiction of time in the time", too.

Despite we in a positive direction of time,object which in a same time same zone can't exist without influence of positive direction time. It is because it belongs in the same time-space. These things are led...

Theorem : "Time always passes in constant direction (in other words, "plus")."

Let's try to form these theorems.

Theorem:"Even if time stops, every existence(at same space,same time) can't confirm it.

Theorem : "Time always passes uniformly."

Theorem : "Time always passes in the positive direction."

These are called as Three theorem of time.

What is the real identity of the time?

Well, I ask you a question.

"What is the time?"

Let's modify our general idea of time and space.

What is time?

Is it a thing with the independent existence of the time?
 Is it formed by the power of anything?
 Is time formed from nothing?
 Is time formed from ens?
 Is there a time elementary particle which like a elementary particle physics's graviton?
 Everythings because of the big bang, too?
 What is motive power when the big bang create the time?
 Is time the phenomenon which happens by what kind of power?
 If it is the power,that is really huge.
 If it enable to difinition of time today,it must define such time physical quantity.
 I only answer these questions like this.
 "Independent existence of the time doesn't exist.It's just only a movement."
 It shuold be surprised when it thinks today comon sense.
 "What a silly talk! Time doesn't exist? I have a watch to work precisely!"
 Someone may begin to get angry like this.
 However, I want to try to think about it well.
 It is a very simple fact.
 In other words, the thing of the time didn't exist.
 Time which we thinks is one of logos of movement.
 Time belongs under the movement.
 All things begin from movement.
 For example, about movement movement of the object.
 Let's suppose that there is an object of the stationary condition at present.
 That object starts the movement of "the moving" by pushing that object.
 At that time,that object became condition as "That object owned the time" that against the stationary condition. And,if that object returned in the stationary condition,it say that object quit the possession of the time.
 Human being of us have time (timer) by doing the movement of the life activities.
 If a human being doesn't do the movement of life activities, a human being can't confirm the time which outside of human being. It does,it is because we have the time,as a result,it is only that we share the out side of time.
 For verify this fact,for objective recognishon,we(which already have the time by movement of life activity) have a watch(of course it had already have time by it began to movement).
 By this thing,we felt three minutes are sometimes long and short. You should already to know that the time can't be contained in one of elements to compose the space So, it seems to clash with the above theorems.
 The start of the movement is the start of the possession of the time.
 The stop of the movement is the stop of the possession of the time.

When these things are understood, it is conformable to these theorems.
Let's try to see a change of the theorem after "the denial of the existence of the time".

Theorem : "Time doesn't stop."

The time doesn't exist.

Therefore,an object continues the movement as long as there is no factor to stop the movement
Observer(:human being who has a time by movement of life activity) who stands certain position with stationary condition observe the observation of movement.

Therefore, there is no change in the theorem, and a theorem is suitable.

Theorem : "Time passes regularly."

A human being who owned the time by having started the movement of the life activities evaluates the outside world. If it use "four dimension",it doesn't conclude opinion of Einstein by "the absolute control by the time" and "contradiction of time in the time". After the denial of existence of the time, a change in the movement is made target. Therefore, without a change in the condition which it is based on, there is no change in the theorem.

Theorem:"Time passes in the positive direction."

Time is the movement.

Therefore,negative time is impossible.

There is a result because there is a cause.

Then, time doesn't exist,movement only exist.

These are changes in the theorem.

"The zero time" and "the minus time" can't exist, and nothing creat the time. It reaches naturally to this answer.

"Zero time" doesn't exist,"time of imaginary number" doesn't exist before the big bang,and the thing which creates time doesn't exist. Therefore, there is a doubtful point in the big bang hypothesis. Incidentally, a phenomenon to look like the universe's expanding is one of the bases of the big bang theory. However, of course this only spreads out. Therefore, meaning is different from the space where Einstein insists. It can be applied the problem of space which insist Einstein.

Let's suppose that there is two space of A and B.

The length of 1 cm in the space of A is thought the same as the length of 1 cm in the space which we live in.

The space of B has two times of the space than space A.

Well, the person who is in A sees B.

Will that person know a difference in B?

If that person knows a difference, the space between A and B didn't contract or didn't expand.
If you think what kind of space is between A and B,it is obvious.

If A and B continue, B which sees it from A is the really same world.
Therefore, if the space of B could confirm it as a space of two times of A, B is 2 cm of two times.
We can't confirm the difference, when it supposed that the space between A and B isn't continued. (What is a thing that interrupt between the space and the space?)
There is no basis that the inside of B can be judged to be the space of the size of two times.
As for the space as well, it can say "The space is spreading equally".
"The space and time shrink" is strange opinion.
There is no way to confirm.
If there was a difference which could be confirmed, the space didn't contract, but it only became short.
So, it is the theorem of the space where it was applied.

Theorem : "It can't be confirmed even if there is distortion in the space".

Theorem : "The space is spreading equally".

Theorem : "A minus doesn't exist in the space".

It can say that the second is same as the 1st theorems. It is different that the case of the time, space has no necessary to target the stop of the space. So, the space doesn't stop.

Let's suppose that an object expands when its moved from the space of A to the space of B.

If it happens, the power of something is necessary for the space.

If physics is made the target.

With the power which makes the space, and the power which the space has.

Then, such power doesn't exist.

In other words, there is no end in the space.

It is because the thing which separates the space and the space doesn't exist. Though an end exists in the object, an end doesn't exist in the space.

It is the really existentialistic way of thinking.

3 About the light.

Let's talk about the light.

The true identity of the light is an elementary particle, and it is an electromagnetic wave, and it is not the story of the level. (Incidentally, an answer is "field").

It is the story which can be confirmed in our life level fully. An eye and a sensor confirm light by catching light.

"Light is within eyes, and has meaning".

It is a reason.

Let's make this "the principle of the optical recognition".

It is because light from the illuminant hits an object and it is within eyes that the image of the object can be seen. Of course, there is the thing which emit light like an electric light bulb from itself, too.

However, it is the same as a meaning.

"Light is within eyes, and has meaning."

It is the principle of the optical recognition.

Then, is a person actually watching the light itself?

An answer is NO.

We are only to feel the light of changes which emitte from illuminant,reflect,and into my eyes. We should rather make it "We are watching light" than "We feel a change due to the phenomenon of the light".

After all, it is the same.

Let's suppose that there is electric light here.

That switch is turned on.

If you saw the passage of the light at that time, light reflects on something in between that, and that light which reflected is that it reached even eyes at last. Therefore, we can confirm it in the place such as the inside of the mist and the inside of the water. It seldom happens in the atmosphere. Even if the switch of the light is turned on in the night,a person can't see the passage of the light. In other words, a passage can be seen by the size of the reflection thing.

"The light can't fill up." thing is led from this thing.

Let's make this "the principle of the optical existence".

Of course, neither being full nor accumulation is done. As for the thing that can't be seen,nothing exist there,or it doesn't have the size which we can see. Of course, meaning is done after into eyes.

It is "the principle of the optical recognition".

The light which we see is always a front of my sight. The view which our eye has is it.

An eye doesn't recognize light from the side. An image is never distorted by light from the side hitting front light.

This is the principle that inherited "the principle of the optical recognition", and "the principle of the optical existence".

3.1 About the size which can be seen in the eyes.

When putting the pot which contains water over the fire,it begins to seethe in certain temperature.

No one knows the principle of the atmospheric pressure.

However, we KNOW "the phenomenon which happens when water is warmed to 100 degrees centigrade under the conditions of 1 atmospheric pressure".

While it does so, water in the pot begins to decrease. Of course, as we learned it at school, it is because that evaporation was done.

The whereabouts of water which disappeared becomes clear by seeing the steam which floats on that pot. However, steam doesn't remain there indefinitely, then, it disappears somewhere.

It was the form of "the water" by the shape which could be seen in the eyes first. However, we saw it as a form of "the steam" due to the phenomenon of seething.

It spreads even to the size which can't be seen in the eyes soon. The existence of "the water" didn't disappear from this world.

The same thing can be said of the air.

Though it seems to be colorless, air is only size that it can't see by the eyes.

Therefore, it is exorbitantly difficult to think about "light".

Did light reach it by repeating what kind of reflection in the air to the eye?

What kind of reflection did light repeat in the air before reaching eyes?

It is the difficult question endlessly, when we begin to think.

However, the reflection of the size which can't be seen in the eyes exist there. Therefore, even if what kind of reflection is repeated, it can't be seen in the eyes.

Well, one theorem is led by this thing.

Theorem : "It make sense as light, when it has the size that we can see". It becomes this thing when it thinks from a principle to see air. However, this is limited for the human being.

3.2 The continuity of the light.

The luminous body emits the light continuously.

The light is always radiated continuously from an illuminant.

It is a very simple fact.

However, this thing will have important meaning later.

Let's try to show an interesting quiz here.

Q: Let's suppose that there is an object which can move faster than a ray. And that object not to cause so-called Lorentz contraction.

Can we see the objects which move faster than a ray?

Answer : "It can see even if it is faster than a ray."

Explanation : What does a person recognize the figure of the object by?

It is by light's entering into the eyes.

Therefore, we can see the view which reaches in our eyes, if the object is faster than light.
Like a supersonic plane which drags sound.
Let's suppose that the true identity of light to be an elementary particle.
It must cross the space between the elementary particle and the elementary particle so that an object may not reflect that figure.
It changes corresponding to the width of the object.
The object of the speed which is the same as the light can't pass between the elementary particles.
After all, even if it is faster than ray, the figure of the object can be seen.
It is because light reflects while it is moved.
Let's presume that there is an object of the length of 300000km.
It crosses the front of our sight in one second.
Then, that object can be seen after one second.
Because, even while that object crosses it, light is radiated continuously, and it keeps hitting that object. Then, even if an object has passed, light reaches to the observation person.
Because, the light has no reason to become extinct. I think you know that time doesn't contract.


4 About ether(aether) theory and the sound.

Before the thesis of the Einstein was published in 1905, the people thought light to be the nature of the transmission like a sound. As the air transmits the sounds, people presumed aether which transmitting medium of light.
Sound vibrates the air, and it reaches in our ears.
We feel it as a sound, and do meaning as a sound.
A supersonic airplane isn't rare at present. It is common sense that an object can exceed sound speed.
It is easy to know the continuity of the sound. That good example is the Doppler effect of the sound.
Even if the object which sounds sound passes through the front of us at any speed, we can feel a change in the sound which a distance brings.
Sound proceeds to all the directions.
Therefore, even if we run after a moved object, we can't listen to the sound of the reverse rotation.
Will the meaning of the continuity be understood in this?
There is no division.
The Doppler effect is a change in the frequency of the sound which happens by a distance's becoming resistance. Of course, an idea(meta) doesn't give it a change.

A distance is to mean the strength of the resistance under the premise of the uniform condition. There is a person who really mistakes this thing. Does the Doppler effect happen if a distance shrinks? It is whether the thing of the transmission. It is that a change doesn't happen, and no one can confirm a change in the sound. The Doppler effect is from object's speed, distance, and position. There is a question of "Does the Doppler effect appear without resistance by the distance?", too. However, when a problem is the light, a person insists that a distance shrinks though the Doppler effect is relations between the speed of the object and the distance.

5 About the sound.

Sound is the thing of the transmission. Sound is transmitted by air's vibrating.



Let's suppose that there is a stage here. This stage can be transferred. One of edge is A, another edge is B. There is a speaker in A. The sound sounded from A reflects with B, and it is returned to A again. It is $TB - TA = TA' - TB$ if this is the condition of a standstill. It is a thing in reason. Let's think that this stage is transferred. Sound becomes $TB - TA \neq TA' - TB$ because it is the thing of the transmission. It is a reason that a supersonic airplane goes over the wall of the sound of the own engine sound. Let's make this the principle of the nature of the transmission. It is outside the influence of the movement of this stage. In other words, air is what belongs to the movement of the earth.

Before the Einstein, the people thought that light is transmitted by the medium of the ether. However, when such an experiment was done toward the light, originally it became $TB - TA \neq TA' - TB$, however it became $TB - TA = TA' - TB$.

Though a stage transferred, the people got to know that it became the same as the condition of a standstill.

This is "an unchangeable law of light speed".

So, Mr. Lorentz who was a famous physicist in those days advocated the theory that an object shrink in the ether.

Then, Einstein denied an ether theory, and became the appearance of his famous theory of relativity.

However, it is probably that there is a person whom it has already noticed.

If light is not the thing of the transmission, both become the formulae of $TB - TA = TA' - TB$ like usual physics phenomenon.

In other words, there is no necessity to make Lorentz shrink.

Because it is not transmission by the ether.

So, true mystery is "an unchangeable of law of light speed".

Let's review "the principle of the relativity" before that.

6 About the principle of the relativity.

It is about the principle of the relativity.

You are probably to notice some logical tricks and a pitfall.

Let's define a certain movement by the vague function.

$A(x) = \text{movement of object A}$

This is the function that meaning of movement of object A.

And, let's define the object movement in the same way as $B(x)$. $B(x) = \text{movement of object B}$

Well.....

$A(x) = B(x)$

This is the principle of the relativity.

When B is seen from A, that is stopping.

Because, A and B do the same movement.

$A(x) > B(x)$

When B is seen from A, that is late.

When A is seen from B, that is fast.

For example, let's try to show these things on the same stage.

The movement of the stage is defined as $St(x)$ by the vague function again Both ends of this stage are defined as A and B.

In the same way, because it is the same stage, there is no change in the distance between A and B.

It is even if an observation person has what kind of idea(meta) about the phenomenon.

Even if someone said "I never approve your existence because I can't recognize your distance by my Idea(meta)", if it affect the object, then it is not physics, it is mysticism.

Well, let's think that this stage is standstill.

Let's think that an experiment person throws a ball from A to B.

And that a ball rebounds with B, and it is returned to A with the same speed.

You'll soon understand that the ball going and returning the same time and same distance.

As we know, there are A and B of both ends of the same stage.

When this stage does a movement, it can be written by the function of $St(x) = A(x) = B(x)$.

In other words, a stage is seen like stopping from both A and B.

It is "the principle of the relativity".

It is a matter of course because it is on both ends of the same stage. Only A never accelerates because it is the same stage.

Next, let's suppose that the experiment person who is on the transferring stage throws a ball. It is a question here.

Q: "What power is the ball thrown from A influenced by?"

In other words, it is "Which power does this ball belong under?"

A: "It is influenced by the movement of the stage (It belongs under the function $St(x)$ ". When this is made a formula, the movement of the ball is written by the function $Ba(x)$, and they become the relations of $St(x) < Ba(x)$.

Of course, this is when the person who doesn't belong to $St(x)$ observes it.

The person who belongs to $St(x)$, or the person who does the movement which is the same as $St(x)$ can see that condition to stop the stage by the principle of the relativity.

That ball is to flip it in A from B next time, and think that it is returned.

There is B of the same stage.

The numerical value which is equivalent to $St(x)$ is subtracted from there.

Therefore, a ball is returned to A by spending the same time as going.

It bunts so that it may be returned with the exactly same power.

Therefore, A and B of the same stage can ignore the influence of $St(x)$. It is because it is the same.

Let's suppose that the stage accelerated while a ball arrives at B from A.

Of course, before a ball arrives at B, $B(x)$ becomes the value which is different from $St(x)$.

Therefore, a ball is rebounded weakly.

Next, let's suppose that a stage slowed down before a ball arrives at B.

A ball is strongly rebounded at that time.

It is probably that relations were found out in this.

"Is it a ball or a stage to move?"

However, we can distinguish this.

It is "Is it the phenomenon which happened because the power was added where?"

Active or passive.

The ball thrown from A is returned to A with taking the same time because it belongs to $St(x)$.

When a stage does acceleration and a slowdown, because the ball doesn't belong to $St(x)$, the way that a ball rebounds changes.

Well, what is sound?

As for the sound, it is transmitted by the air.

Let's suppose that the speaker is put on A of moving stage, and it listens to that sound on B.

You already know that this stage exceeds sound speed.

In other words, sound doesn't belong to $St(x)$, and it belongs to the movement of the air. In other words, the movement of the air is the movement of the earth.

Therefore, mankind can exceed the wall of the sound.

Then, how is the light?

It becomes the same as the relations of the sound if light is the thing of the transmission by the ether.

Lorentz of the ether theory support side proposed the contraction hypothesis of Lorentz by its not corresponding to the fact.

What kind of relations do the premises that it is not transmission become?

It is the premise of the physical relations like time to throw a ball.

This is the meaning of "It doesn't need to make Lorentz shrinkage."

So, the theory of relativity of the Einstein is a basis-less.

It was the trick of the mere logic.

7 About the error of "Einstein".

We've got already to know that Einstein isn't right.

It doesn't need to include time as the fourth axis to the dimension.

The thing of non-transmission doesn't need to do Lorentz contraction.

They have already been explained.

Then, there is not even the space of non-Euclid.


Even if the light is probably an electromagnetic wave, even if it was probably an elementary particle, they don't become the relations based on the thing of a transmission like ether.

A problem is how to explain about the phenomenon of "an unchangeable law of light speed".

8 About the "an unchangeable law of light speed"

The nchangeable law of light speed is this thing.

I want this sight imagined.



A road is in front of you.

The nearest part is point A.

It is point B that the distance of 100m.

There are running car, and a flashlight of the stationary condition in the point A.

There are a person running, and the person of the stationary condition in the point B.

The light of the running car and the light of flashlight which is stationary condition are seen in the B point at the same timing.

"Even if an illuminant is any speed, the speed of the light is always fixed."

So, the people in those days had troubles.

It is because both "the light from car which is running" and "the light from the flashlight which is stationary condition" became same speed.

So, Lorentz insisted that a distance and time contracted.

However, do you know it?

The point which it should pay attention to is really trashy.

It is "Light isn't influenced by the speed of the illuminant."

In other words, it is "Even if the illuminant is any speed, the time and distance which is from illuminant are always fixed".

It is in the way of calculating a speed.

As it knows, the definition of the speed is "distance \div time".

Even if an illuminant is any speed, it is the same speed that time and a distance are fixed.

Far from Lorentz shrinking, a distance from the illuminant and time are unchangeable.

And, it is a fact that it isn't influenced by the speed of the illuminant.

Refer to "The space is spreading equally." and "the contradiction of the space in the space".

Actually, if time and the space contract, the Doppler effect by the distance and the time can't happen.

It is because resistance to give to the light doesn't exist if time and a distance contract.

"Why is light speed always the same?"

It is an original problem.

Let's try to think with returned to the origin.

9 Returned to the origin.

Let's suppose that there is one escargot in front of our eyes.

It exists on this earth, and it does a standstill in the time of the present.

Let's think that that escargot comes here.

Are you supposed not to be able to see it?

If the light is always fixed speed.

However, we can see a escargot during the movement in the reality.

It is the continuity of the light.

We pick out the light which has the meaning of the light for us from the light which radiates continuously, and we are watching it. In other words, the thing we were thought to be "unchangeable law of light speed." was "unchangeable law of sensitive of light speed .".

Well, about true identity of the light.

Is light an elementary particle?

Or, is it an electromagnetic wave?

The today's definition is very ambiguous.

The definition of today's light is an elementary particle and electric magnetic wave. It is because light has a nature like an elementary particle except for the nature of the electromagnetic wave.

As for that order of priority, the electromagnetic wave is the upper, the nature of the elementary particle is the lower.

An electromagnetic wave belongs under the electric field and the magnetic field. Therefore, the thing which the true identity of the light is thought to be "field" about is more concise than to argue the existence of the photon suddenly.

The phenomenon of the unchangeable law of light speed can be explained by using "field".

Light is an electromagnetic field by electric field and magnetic field being repeated alternately. In other words, the speed of the light is the speed of the "field".

Well, let's suppose that an object was moved.

Let's suppose that a field is transferred along with the object.

At that time, is light moved along with the object, too?

An answer is NO.

Because, light is the wave that electric field and magnetic field are repeated alternately in the built field.

Light is radiated after an illuminant passes if the movement of the illuminant is faster than speed of light.

Unchangeable law of light speed is natural if we think like this.

10 conclusion.

Time doesn't shrink because it doesn't exist.

The space doesn't contract because meaning isn't done without an object.

The four dimensions space doesn't exist.

Non-Euclidean geometry is trickery.

The speed of the light is the speed that a field spreads out.

An electromagnetic wave is the wave that electric field and magnetic field are repeated alternately.

The theory of relativity which Einstein insists on is denied from these things.

It is the bad influence of the thing that four dimensions geometry was popular in the latter half of the 19th century.

Then, ontology and epistemology weren't built up at that time.

As for mathematics as well, abstract definition was left.
Probably, this is a cause.

11 Reference

1. Albert Einstein : *Soutaisei–Riron* (The Relativity (“Zur Elektrodynamik bewegter Körper 1905)) (Iwanami-Bunko, Tokyo, 1998)[in Japanese]
2. H.G.Wells : *Timemachine* (*The short stories of H.G.Wells*) (London, Earnest Benn, 1952) (Iwanami-Bunko, Tokyo, 1996)[in Japanese]
3. Martin Heidegger : *SEINUNDZEIT* (Iwanami-Bunko, Tokyo, 2000)[in Japanese]

and any textbooks.